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Design Chapter:

The first stage of the design process was to outline a specification for the testing container. These specifications were drawn from the project brief, the literature review and discussion with my supervisor Professor Moritz Riede. The specification of the design is outlined below:

1. The container must be able to accommodate a 30 mm x 30 mm substrate provided by AFMD research group
2. The container must be leakproof to outside air
3. The container must allow electrical connections from outside to connect to the substrate for measurements.
4. The container must enable the substrate to be heated to a given temperature\*.
5. The container must have a window allowing light to be shone into the box
6. The container must contain a gas inlet.
7. The container should fit into the small glovebox inlet with diameter 150 mm.

This specification provided the structure for the testing container. Using work done in the literature review, it was clear that this type of testing container is unusual for the market, thereby requiring innovative design. I was put in contact with Karl-Augustin Zaininger - a Physics researcher who had developed a simplified version of this device – to discuss viable methods for achieving the specifications. This conversation allowed me to create an extremely simple first iteration of the design shown in figure (n).

This model was 3D printed to provide a physical representation (with a photo shown in figure (n)), where it became possible to see some of the flaws that were hidden by the virtual design. The first thing was that this design was very small, making it difficult for use within the glovebox. This was a problem as all assembly needed to occur within a glovebox to ensure there would be no unwanted oxygen or water residue able to degrade the cell. Adding to this, it seemed that there wouldn’t be enough room to wire the components in the container, this would cause significant problems as the modularity of the design would be compromised. This would go against one of the tenants of the project (modularity) which would be a problem when thinking of using this set up on different substrate layouts.

These problems caused a redesign of the container, resulting in the model shown in figure (n). The box is larger in this with dimensions x\*y\*z, with a wall thickness w, resulting in more space within the container as well as a more robust device. This part is the outer shell, with a subsequent substrate holder supposed to be held inside the outer shell. The substrate holder was designed specifically to go with this particular substrate layout, resulting in a more detailed design. The substrate layout was provided to me by Dr. Grey Christophoro, a researcher working in the Physics department, and can be shown in figure (n). As can be seen in figure (n) the substrate has x contact points and y cells, meaning that the substrate holder is required to also have room to provide a means to contact to the electrical contact points, without hindering the flow of air around the cell, or the light incident on the cell surface. The solution was to develop s small lid which would be screwed into the substrate holder. This lid was designed so that the outline did not block any light being incident on the cells. The lid outline can be seen in figure n.

Another important consideration was the ability for the substrate holder to be easily attached to the outer shell within the glovebox. Therefore, the substrate holder needs to have electrical wiring within it, to ensure the connections that it made to the cells, could be moved away to another part which can be easily ‘plugged-in’ to another component. This idea